# SPIE REMOTE REMOTE SENSING Remote Sensing for Agriculture, Ecosystems, and Hydrology UNESP UNESP Convertion Centre Amsterdam RAI Exhibition and Convention Centre Amsterdam, Netherlands –September 2014 São Paulo State University

Water productivity of different land uses in watersheds assessed from satellite imagery Landsat 5 Thematic Mapper

Renato A.M. Franco<sup>a</sup>, Fernando B.T. Hernandez<sup>\*b</sup>, Antonio H.C. Teixeira<sup>c</sup> <sup>a,b</sup> UNESP - Universidade Estadual Paulista, Ilha Solteira, São Paulo, Brazil; <sup>c</sup>Embrapa Monitoramento por Satélite, Campinas, SP, Brazil

**1. INTRODUCTION** 

In the northeastern São Paulo State, the image of March (2004/80) showed high value of BIO

The parameters of water productivity on large scale is an important tool to support the planning of

agricultural policies and decision making about the use of natural resources. The water applied to

plants provides increase of biomass production (BIO) and evapotranspiration (ET) rate in a large

due to the rainy season in the region. In the dry season there is a reduction in the value of BIO

in watershed, the values of BIO are high in irrigated areas due to the daily irrigation center

pivots.

scale. For the determination of WP, evapotranspiration (ET) to be quantified. The SAFER (Simple

Algorithm for Retrieving Evapotranspiration) allows to estimate ET using the Penman-Monteith

equation in conjunction with biophysical parameter data generated through the use of remote

sensing. The objective of the research is to determine the spatial and temporal water productivity

in watersheds with different types of use and occupation of land in their driest conditions, during

the period 1997-2010, using Landsat TM-5 together with the Monteith model to estimate the

parameters of BIO and SAFER for determination of ET on a large scale.

### **2. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

The three watersheds summed up a total of an area of 103.10 km<sup>2</sup> and have different types of use

and occupation of land occupied mostly by pasture and irrigated agriculture that began in 1997,

due to favorable growing conditions, with water availability, relief and soil fertility (Figure 1).







 $Kg ha^{-1} d^{-1}$ 

227.50

195.00

162.50

130.00

97.50

- 65.00

- 32.50

UTM Datum WGS 84 1 : 700000

Kg m<sup>-3</sup>

5.33

- 4.44

3.55

2.67

1.78

0.89

Datum WGS 84

Figure 4 the spatial distribution of the ET mean values for the period 1996-2010. The highest

mean values of ET occurred in 2000 (SD:  $\pm 1.26$ ), because the area irrigated by center pivot

that averaged 2.2 mm-1 d-1 (SD:  $\pm 1.4$ ). Mean values of ET showed an increment of 153.2%

during the period 1997-2010, with the irrigated areas this increases in ET the values of

#### watersheds.





2.34±0.93 2.24±1.00 2.82±1.15

2005/227

2.35±1.01 2.40±1.19

Other types of land use are sugarcane and natural vegetation, the later with lowest area. One

automatic agrometeorological station was used together with 14 Landsat images for the periods

of water deficit from 1997 to 2010. Figure 2 shows the schematic representation of the

calculation of the water productivity.





Figure 1. Location of the research area.

**Figure 2.** Schematic flowchart for calculation of biophysical water productivity (WP) based on evapotranspiration (ET).

## **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**



**Figure 5.** Spatial distribution of the value of evapotranspiration (ET) for the watersheds during the years of 1996 to 2010, for every day of the year (DOY), average ET and SD value.

**Figure 6.** Spatial distribution of the value of WP for the watersheds during the years of 1996 to 2010, for every day of the year (DOY), means WP and SD value.

2006/118 2007/249 2008/267 2009/238

The maximum WP value occurred in June/2001, with 3,08 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (SD:  $\pm 1.22$ ), the second

highest value was in 2010 (June), with a value of 2,97 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (SD:  $\pm 1.52$ ) (Figure 6). Irrigated

agriculture show the highest WP value in 2010, with maximum value of 6.7 kg m<sup>-3</sup> and mean

value of 3.1 kg m<sup>-3</sup> (SD:  $\pm 2.0$ ). The lowest WP was obtained for images of DOY 249

(September, 2007) and 267 (September, 2008), because of the dry season with low soil

moisture conditions, with 90 and 120 days without rain above 10 mm, respectively.

Figure 3 presents spatial variation of the NDVI values and the average for each JD/year of the

assessed watersheds. The average study period was 0.40, the lowest mean value was 0.31 and

occured in 2008. The highest value occurred in 2001, with an average value of 0.53 (Figure 3).

Analysing only irrigated crops, in 1998 (DJ: 208) the are irrigated by center pivots presented in

average 116.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> (SD:  $\pm$  21.4) and in 1999 it was 76.2 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> (SD:  $\pm$  44.7). In

September 2000 (JD: 261), the maximum value was 277.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>d<sup>-1</sup> and an average of 142.8

 $(SD: \pm 64.2)$  (Figure 4).

# **4. CONCLUSIONS**

The mean values of ET showed an increase of 153.2% during the period 1997-2010, with the

irrigated areas this increase in ET values in watersheds. SAFER model was efficient for the

study and to identification of the thermo-hydrological conditions of the images evaluated in

the dry season. WP values were higher in the irrigated, mainly in the crop production and

reduction during the fallow period, represent by pixel reddish.



www.feis.unesp.br/irrigacao.php http://irrigacao.blogspot.com http://clima.feis.unesp.br



Water Productivity Project (Process 2.009/52.467-4)

